Stochastic Acceleration by Turbulence Application to Solar Flares



Vahe Petrosian Stanford University With Qingrong Chen





Reuven Ramaty High Energy Solar Spectroscopic Imager (*RHESSI*)

11/18/11

Acceleration Mechanisms General Remarks

- 1. Parallel (to *B*) Electric Field Unstable; Leads to Turbulence
- 2. Stochastic Acceleration (Fermi 1949)

2^M order Fermi: Scattering by Turbulence

Accl. Rate/Scattering



3. Acceleration in converging flows; Shocks

First order Fermi:



Most likely scattering agent is Turbulence

11/18/11



 A Brief Review of Acceleration in Solar Flares Some Critical Observations
 Stochastic Acceleration Model Basic Equation and Important Coefficients
 Application to RHESSI Total Spectra and Images Determination of Turbulence Characteristics

I. A Brief Review of Solar Flares Some Critical Observations

11/18/11



Basic Model Acceleration Site and Process

Flare loop reconnection. site

2nd order Fermi?





CME shock

1st order Fermi?

Seed Particles?

I. Observed Signatures to be Explained by the Acceleration Model

- 1. Radiative Signatures of Electrons: X-rays
- 2. Nuclear Lines and Pion Decay Continuum by Energetic Protons and Ions: *Gamma-rays*
- 3. Solar Energetic Particles or **SEPs** Observed at 1 A.U.

CONCENTRATE ON MINIMAL REQUIREMENTS

I. Observations Supporting This Model Bremsstrahlung by Electrons

Hard vs Soft X-ray Structure

Distinct Looptop and Footpoint Sources YOHKOH







11/18/11

2. Nuclear Lines and Pion Decay by Protons and Ions

Most recent data obtained by Fermi

2010, June 12



Pohang, Korea 2011

Electron vs Proton Acceleration Rates

 $(\varepsilon_p/\varepsilon_e)_{\rm Flares} < 0.3$

 $(\epsilon_p/\epsilon_e)_{\rm SEPs}\sim 3$

 $(\varepsilon_p/\varepsilon_e)_{\mathrm{CRs}}\sim 100$

Flares More Efficient Electron Accelerators

3. Solar Energetic Particles or **SEPs** Observed at 1 A.U.



II. Stochastic Acceleration Model Basic Equation and Coefficients

II. Particle Acceleration and Transport The Kinetic Equation

Fokker-Planck Equation for Gyrophase Average Dist.
$$f(t, s, E, \mu)$$

 $\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + v\mu \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} = \frac{1}{p^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} p^2 \left[D_{pp} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p} + D_{p\mu} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mu} \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \left[D_{\mu\mu} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mu} + D_{\mu p} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p} \right] - \frac{1}{p^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} (p^2 \dot{p}_L f) + \dot{S}$
1. Isotropic if $D_{\mu\mu} \gg v/L$ and D_{pp}/p^2 Define $F(p,s,t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} d\mu f(p,\mu,s,t)$ and $Q(p,s,t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} d\mu S(p,\mu,s,t)$
 $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \kappa_s \frac{\partial F}{\partial s} = \frac{1}{p^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left(p^4 \kappa_p \frac{\partial F}{\partial p} - p^2 \dot{p}_L F \right) + \dot{Q}(p,s,t) + \frac{\partial}{\partial s} (\dots,),$
Where $\kappa_s = \frac{v^2}{8} \int_{-1}^{1} d\mu \frac{(1-\mu^2)^2}{D_{\mu\mu}}$ and $\kappa_p = \frac{1}{2p^2} \int_{-1}^{1} d\mu (D_{pp} - D_{\mu p}^2/D_{\mu \mu})$
With acceleration and scattering times $\tau_{ac} = 1/\kappa_p$ and $\tau_{sc} = 8\kappa_s/v^2$
2. If $D_{pp}/p^2 \gg D_{\mu\mu}$ then $\tau_{ac} = p^2/\langle D_{pp} \rangle \ll \tau_{sc}$

Pohang, Korea 2011

11/18/11

II. Particle Acceleration and Transport

- 3. If Homogeneous (or spatially averaged)
- and defining $N(E)dE = 4\pi p^2 F(p)dp$ we get $\frac{\partial N(E)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial E^2} [D_{EE}N(E)] - \frac{\partial}{\partial E} [(A(E) - \dot{E}_L(E))N(E)] - \frac{N(E)}{T_{esc}(E)} + \dot{Q}(E)$ Diffusion Accel. Loss Escape $D_{EE} = c^2 \beta^2 D_{pp} \quad A(E) = \frac{1}{p^2} \frac{d(c^2 \beta^2 D_{pp})}{dp}$ $T_{esc}(E) \simeq \tau_{cross}(1 + \tau_{cross}/\tau_{sc})$ $\tau_L \equiv E/\dot{E}_L$ $\dot{E}_L = 4\pi r_0^2 \ln \Lambda mc^3 n/\beta + (4/9)r_0^2 c\beta^2 \gamma^2 B^2$

II. Wave Particle Interactions e.g. parallel propagating waves



II. Wave Particle Interactions Spectrum of Turbulence (assumed)

The diffusion coefficients $D_{EE}, D_{\mu\mu}$ or $\tau_{ac}, \tau_{sc}, T_{esc}$ are related to:

1. Turbulence parameters: Injection and damping wave numbers Inertial range spectral index:

 k_{\min}, k_{\max} and q

2. Plasma parameters:Plasma and gyro-frequenciesTurbulence energy density

$$\alpha = \left(\frac{\omega_{\text{pe}}}{\Omega_e}\right) \propto \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{B}\right) \text{ and } u_{\text{turb}} \sim 8\pi\delta B^2 \sim \rho v_{\text{turb}}^2$$
$$\tau_p^{-1} = \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \Omega_e \left(\frac{u_{\text{turb}}}{B^2/8\pi}\right) (q-1) \left(\frac{ck_{\min}}{\Omega_e}\right)^{q-1}$$



Two Important Aspects

IMPORTANT ASPECT 1 Define $R_1 = (D_{pp}/p^2)/D_{\mu\mu}$ and $R_2 = (D_{\mu p}/p)/D_{\mu\mu}$ For High Energy Protons and Relativistic Electrons Alfven and Fast Mode $R_1 = (v_A/v)^2 \ll 1$ $\kappa_p = \frac{1}{2n^2} \int_{-1}^{1} d\mu (D_{pp} - D_{\mu p}^2 / D_{\mu \mu})$ $au_{ac} = 1/\kappa_p \ll au_{sc}$

But for highly magnetized plasmas or at low energies $R_1 \gg 1$ $\tau_{ac} = p^2 / < D_{pp} \gg \tau_{sc}$

Accelerationby Turbulence MoreEfficient than Shock11/18/11Pohang, Korea 2011

Accel/Scatt Ratio **R1**



IMPORTANT ASPECT 2

In general

 $(D_{pp}/p^2): (D_{\mu p}/p): D_{\mu \mu} = [x_j^2]: [x_j(1-\mu x_j)]: [(1-\mu x_j)^2]$ with $x_j = (\beta_{ph,j}/\beta)^2$

Thus when a **Single MODE** dominates, then the *Acceleration Rate for the Isotropic case*

$$\kappa_p = \frac{1}{2p^2} \int_{-1}^{1} d\mu (D_{pp} - D_{\mu p}^2 / D_{\mu \mu}) \to 0$$

This however does not affect the nonisotropic $R_1 > 1$

11/18/11

III. Stochastic Acceleration in Flares 1. Electron vs Proton Acceleration and Spectra





Pohang, Korea 2011

III. Stochastic Acceleration in Flares 2.SEPs and He3/He4 Acceleration

Timescales

Spectral Fits



Liu, VP and Mason, 2004, ApJ

He3, He4 Fluence Ratios



11/18/11

III. Stochastic Acceleration of Electrons in Solar Flares

Testing the Acceleration Model



Regularized Inversion of Photon Images to Electron Images

 $I(x,y;\epsilon) = \frac{a^2}{4\pi R^2} \int_{E=\epsilon}^{\infty} N(x,y)\overline{F}(x,y;E)Q(\epsilon,E) dE \quad J(x,y;q) dq = \int_{x} \int_{y} \int_{\epsilon=q}^{\infty} D(q,\epsilon)I(x,y;\epsilon) d\epsilon dx dy$

RHESSI produces count visibility, Fourier component of the source

$$V(u,v;q) = \mathcal{F}^2(J(x,y;q)) \equiv \int_x \int_y J(x,y;q) e^{2\pi i (ux+vy)} dx dy$$

Defining electron flux visibility spectrum and count cross section $W(u,v;E) = a^{2} \int_{x} \int_{y} N(x,y)\overline{F}(x,y;E)e^{2\pi i(ux+vy)} dx dy \qquad K(q,E) dq = \int_{\epsilon=q}^{\infty} D(q,\epsilon)Q(\epsilon,E) d\epsilon$ We get $V(u,v;q) = \frac{1}{4\pi R^{2}} \int_{q}^{\infty} W(u,v;E)K(q,E) dE$

Regularized inversion produced *smoothed* electron flux visibility spectrum

$$\left\|\boldsymbol{V}_{[u,v]} - \boldsymbol{K} \cdot \boldsymbol{W}_{[u,v]}\right\|^{2} + \lambda_{[u,v]} \left\|\boldsymbol{W}_{[u,v]}\right\|^{2} = \text{minimum}$$

Fourier Transform Gives $N(x,y)\overline{F}(x,y;E) = \frac{1}{a^2} \int_u \int_v W(u,v;E) e^{-2\pi i (ux+vy)} du dv$

Piana et al. 2007

Results From Regularized Inversion of Images

(1) LT image gives the accelerated electron spectrum
$$\frac{N(E) = F_{LT}(E)/v}{N(E) = F_{LT}(E)/v}$$

(2) FP images give the *effective* spectrum

$$F_{\rm FP} = \frac{v}{\dot{E}_{\rm L}} \int_{E}^{\infty} \frac{N(E')}{T_{\rm esc}(E')} dE' \qquad T_{\rm esc} = N(E) \times \frac{(dF_{\rm FP}\dot{E}_{L}/v)}{dE}$$

From these spectra we can derive the *escape time*

$$T_{\rm esc}(E) = \frac{\tau_{\rm L}(E)(F_{\rm LT}/F_{\rm FP})}{\delta_{\rm FP}(E) + 2/(\gamma + \gamma^2)}, \text{with } \tau_{\rm L} = E/\dot{E}_{\rm L}$$

and determine the mean and *turbulence scattering times*

$$au_{
m scat} \simeq au_{
m cross}^2 / (T_{
m esc} - au_{
m cross}) \text{ and } au_{
m scat}^{
m turb} \simeq au_{
m scat} (1 + au_{
m scat} / au_{
m scat}^{
m Coul})$$

(Petrosian & Chen, 2010 ApJ L, 712, 131)

Applications and Results

We apply the inversion to images of two flares

2003 November 3 Flare (X3.9 class)
 2005 September 8 Flare (M2.1 class)

and evaluate the escape and scattering times and compare with stochastic acceleration model parameters.

2003 Nov 3 Flare (X3.9 class)

LT source detected up to 100-150 keV

(Chen & Petrosian, in preparation)

HXR images by MEM_NJIT



Start Time (03-Nov-03 09:47:00)



2003 Nov 3 Flare: Model Parameters



11/18/11

2003 Nov 3 Flare: A Complete Model

$$\frac{\partial N(E)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial E^2} \left[D_{\rm EE} N(E) \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial E} \left[\left(A(E) - \dot{E}_{\rm L}(E) \right) N(E) \right] - \frac{N(E)}{T_{\rm esc}(E)} + \dot{Q}(E)$$



11/18/11

Pohang, Korea 2011

2005 Sep. 8 Flare: HXR/Electron Images



2005 Sep. 8 Flare: Model Parameters



11/18/11

Pohang, Korea 2011

2006 Sep. 8 Flare: A Complete Model



11/18/11

Comparison With Stochastic Acceleration Model



11/18/11

Some Required Values for the Parameters of the Stochastic Acceleration Model

q = 3, and $\alpha \sim 1(n \sim 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-3}; b \sim 300 \text{ G}$

A scattering time of
$$\tau_{scat} \sim 2 \times 10^{-2} \text{ s}$$

Requires $\tau_p^{-1} \sim 50 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $\frac{u_{turb}}{B^2/8\pi} \left(\frac{ck_{min}}{\Omega_e}\right)^2 \sim 3 \times 10^{-9}$
which means $u_{turb} \ll B^2/8\pi$ for $ck_{min}/\Omega_e > 10^{-4}$

Thus, we need to have a small fraction of magnetic energy in form of sub-Alfvenic turbulence

SUMMARY and CONCLUSIONS

1. Several observations *require trapping* of the accelerated particles in the acceleration Site. The most likely candidate for this is *turbulence*.

2. This turbulence can in addition *accelerate* particles and this acceleration is the most efficient acceleration mechanism at *low energies and highly magnetized plasmas.*

3. Relative acceleration of *electrons/protons; He3/He4* and relative spectra of *Looptop/Footpoint* sources can be reproduced by this process.

4. The newly developed *regularized inversion technique* can be used to determine some of the acceleration parameters directly from the *RHESSI* X-ray data.

He3, He4 Fluence Distributions



Variation of He3/He4 Spectra





11/18/11

Chen, VP and , 2011 ^{orea 2011}

SEPs: P, He3, He4 and Heavy lons



11/18/11

Pohang, Korea 2011

1. Solar Energetic Particles or **SEPs** Observed at 1 A.U. Enhancements of 3He and Heavy lons



11/18/11

Reames et al

Electron vs Proton Acceleration Rates: SEPs



e vs p: Dependence on Magnetization

$$lpha = (m_e/m_p)^{1/2}/eta_A \propto n^{1/2}/B$$

$$\tau_p^{-1} = \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \Omega_e \left(\frac{u_{\text{turb}}}{B^2/8\pi}\right) (q-1) \left(\frac{ck_{\min}}{\Omega_e}\right)^{q-1}$$



Pohang, Korea 2011

Regularized Inversion of Photon Spectra to Electron Spectra

Start with the the detected photon count spectrum

$$J(\varepsilon') = \int I(\varepsilon) D(\varepsilon', \varepsilon) d\varepsilon = n \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} K(E, \varepsilon') F(E) dE; \text{ with } K(E, \varepsilon') = \int_{\varepsilon'}^{\infty} D(\varepsilon', \varepsilon) \sigma(E, \varepsilon) d\varepsilon$$

Invert this to get the electron flux by minimizing the matrix

$$||\mathbf{J} - \mathbf{K} \cdot n\mathbf{F}||^2 + \lambda ||n\mathbf{F}||^2$$

Inversion of the total spectra (Piana et al. 2003; Kontar et al. 2005)

$$I_{\rm Tot} = I_{\rm LT} + I_{\rm FP} = \frac{nV}{4\pi R^2} \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} v N_{\rm eff}(E) \sigma(\epsilon, E) dE$$

Gives the *effective* electron flux or density spectrum $N_{eff}(E)$

11/18/11

Regularized Inversion of Photons to Electrons

1. Inversion of total spectra (Piana et al. 2003; Kontar et al. 2005)

$$I_{\rm Tot} = I_{\rm LT} + I_{\rm FP} = \frac{nV}{4\pi R^2} \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} v N_{\rm eff}(E) \sigma(\epsilon, E) dE$$

Gives the total effective radiating electron spectrum

$$N_{\rm eff}(E) = N(E) + \frac{1}{\dot{E}_{\rm L}} \int_{E}^{\infty} \frac{N(E')}{T_{\rm esc}(E')} dE', \text{ or } \frac{\mathrm{d}(N/v)}{\mathrm{d}E} - \frac{N/v}{\dot{E}_{\rm L}T_{\rm esc}} = \frac{\mathrm{d}(N_{\rm eff}/v)}{\mathrm{d}E}$$

Solve for the accelerated electron spectrum

$$N(E) = N_{\text{eff}}(E) - v(E) \int_{\eta(E)}^{\infty} \frac{N_{\text{eff}}(E)}{v(E)} e^{\eta - \eta'} d\eta'$$
with $d\eta = \frac{dE}{\dot{E}_{\text{L}}T_{\text{esc}}}$

Requires a knowledge of escape time!

 10^{8} 10^{8} (E) 10^{7} 10^{6} 10^{6} 10^{6} 10^{6} Flare 2002-02-20 C7.5 RHESSI 10^{0} 10^{0} Electron E (keV)

11/18/11

II. Transport Coefficients of Electrons and Ions

- 1 . Large Scale *B* field:
 - a. Adiabatic Invariance
 - b. Synchrotron Losses
- 2. Background Plasma Density *n*:
 - a. Inelastic Coulomb
- $\dot{E}_L = 4\pi r_0^2 nmc^3 \ln \Lambda/\beta$

 $E_L = (4/9)r_0^2 c\beta^2 \gamma^2 B^2$

(е-е, е-р, р-р, р-е)

Important only for electrons only

- b. Elastic Brem. And Nuclear Interactions (Lines and Pions)
- 3. Background Photons (Inverse Compton) Important only for electrons only and at low B
- 4. Plasma Waves or Turbulence: Scattering and Acceleration Rates $\propto \Omega(\epsilon_{turb}/B^2)$

Also Energy diffusion, Pitch angle changes and diffusion

Most Important Parameter $~~lpha=\omega_p/\Omega \propto 1/eta_A \propto \sqrt{n/h}$





11/18/11