Radiation From Cosmic Rays Accelerated by Cosmological Shocks

30



Renyi Ma¹, Dongsu Ryu¹, Hyesung Kang² 1 Chungnam National University 2 Pusan National University Edmon Paul³, Tom Jones³ 3 University of Minnesota

Outline

- Introduction
- Model & Calculation
- Preliminary Results
- Discussion
- Summary

Introduction

Cosmic web



WHIM: Warm-Hot Intergalactic Medium (10⁵-10⁷K)

5% in baryons

>50% baryons in intergalactic medium **(IGM)**

Gas in filaments are mostly WHIM

Thermal & nonthermal component of IGM. Shocks play important roles to them

Observation of filaments Lyman α/X-ray forest (Hallman et a Gravitational lensing (Springel et al Line emission (Fang et al. 2002) SZ effects (Hallman et al. 2007) Radio observation (Kim et al. 1989) X-ray emission (Werner et al. 2008







 Cosmological short in the IGM

Heating Accele Inducing turbulence Observationally, radio relics, background

y KEY roles

nic field



ays

 Possible future detection from non-thermal components in filaments

IG Magnetic Fields (<0.1uG)

CRs (
$$P_{CR}/P_{th} > 10\%$$
)

We need to study the Radiation from CRs in IGM, which are injected by cosmological shocks.

Model & Calculation



2. Assumptions:

- Cosmological shocks are the only source of CRs, other sources like AGN, SNR, GRB, dark matter are ignored.
- CR energy spectrum are determined from the temperature and density. The energy spectra are calculated from shocks with the same temperature and density.
 - Over estimate primary electrons;
 - Underestimate CRp and secondary CRe due to CR accumulation;

Simulation

- Hydrodynamic simulation
- ACDM Cosmology

 $\Omega_b = 0.048, \ \Omega_m = 0.31, \ \Omega_\Lambda = 0.69, \ h \equiv H_0 / (100 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-1}) = 0.7, \ \sigma_8 = 0.89$



Magnetic field

- Methods of detection:
 - Faraday Rotation;
 - Radio & HXR surface brightness;
 - Zeeman splitting;
 - polarization of star light
- Possible origin:
 - Jets from AGN, stellar winds,
 - Merger and turbulence
- Our model: turbulence dynamo (Ryu et al. 2008)



 CR energy spectra Primary CRs Spectrum: test-particle Normalization:

$$\frac{F_{CR}}{F_{th}} = \frac{\eta F_{ki}}{\delta F_{ki}} \sim \mathcal{E}_{CR} = \frac{\eta}{\delta} \mathcal{E}_{th}$$

$$\xi_{e} = 0.01$$

Secondary CRe

Ρ

roduction:
$$p + p \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{\pm}$$

 $p + \gamma_{CMB} \rightarrow e^{\pm}$
 $p + \gamma_{CMB} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \pi^{\pm}$

Experimental data;

Monte Carlo simulation

$$\pi^{0} \rightarrow 2\gamma$$

 $\pi^{\pm} \rightarrow v \overline{v} \mu^{\pm} \rightarrow v v v_{e} \overline{v}_{e} e^{\pm}$

 $\alpha = \frac{r+2}{r-1}, \quad r = \frac{4M^2}{M^2+3}$

 $p_{inj} = 5\sqrt{kT_2} / m_p c^2$

 p_{\max} : $\tau_{acc} = \tau_{cool}$

Distribution:

 $\frac{\partial}{\partial E_e} \left(\dot{E}_e f_e(E_e) \right) = q_e \left(E_e \right)$

Preliminary ResultsTypical spectra of shocks





1. At strong shocks, the radiation are dominated by the primary electrons.

At weak shocks, the spectra are so steep that several radiative process have the chance to dominate at some band 2. Secondary electrons are comparable to primary electrons only in weak shocks.

We use the averaged spectra as the emissivity of IGM

Contour of emissivity



Projected Image

Non-thermal emission is more extensive.

Discussion

- Overestimate the primary electrons and underestimate the secondary electrons
- Purely theoretical results

The radiative processes are the same to those in clusters where gamma-rays is theoretically estimated to be detectable by present observatories, however, in some range we still cannot.

Summary

• We calculate the multi-frequency non-thermal radiation from CRs in the filaments;

• Primary CR electrons dominate the spectra;

• Radiation from CRs are more extensive than thermal radiation.

CRe cooling

