ACCELERATING & TRANSPORTING COSMIC RAYS IN THE ICM EDDERIAN VS SPH

(IRA-BOLOGNA)

**OUTLINE (& CONCLUSIONS):** 

#### 1. COMPARISON OF COSMOLOGICAL CODES

- CLUSTERS THERMAL PROPERTIES CONVERGE WITHIN ~10%

- DIFFERENT ACCRETION REGIONS & SHOCKS IN GRID vs SPH

2. HIGH RESOLUTION ENZO AMR SIMULATIONS

- MORPHOLOGICAL & SPECTRAL SIGNATURES OF TURBULENCE

- CR ENERGY IS ~5-10% THERMAL ENERGY INSIDE CLUSTERS

20-11-09 APCTP POHANG

FRANCO VAZZA

## **Comparison Project**



GADGET2 (Springel 2005)ES-TVD (Ryu+1993)ENZO (Bryan&Norman 1995)run by K.Dolagrun by D.Ryurun by F.Vazza• Shared initial conditions:100Mpc/h,σ<sub>8</sub>=1.2• Non-radiative physics, no reionization• Different shock detecting schemes• Resolution studies:64<sup>3</sup>-128<sup>3</sup>-256<sup>3</sup>-512<sup>3</sup>

Vazza, Dolag, Ryu, Brunetti, Gheller, Kang & Pfrommer in prep.



Gas density distribution functions



Gas temperature distribution functions



Mach from temperature jumps (Ryu+O3; Kang+O7)

Mach from <u>entropy</u> jumps (on the fly) (Pfrommer+ 06,07)

Mach from velocity jumps (Vazza+09)



#### Shocks Energy Flux vs Resolution



<u>ALL codes:</u>

 Bulk of energy flux at M~2

steep flux
distribution
dlogF/dlogM ~ - 2

**Differences:** • SPH shows the most converged results

 grid codes and SPH shows convergence to different distributions

#### Entropy vs Mach Number diagram:



a whole "faimily" of shocks in the (S,M) phase diagram is missing in GADGET runs at z=0

• external accretion shocks show similar properties in Eulerian codes, regardless of codes and detect. methods

#### First (exciting)solution:



#### PARALLEL UNIVERSES!

#### Second possible solution:



#### JUST BORING NUMERICS

similar and complementary by products of fundamental differences among SPH and GRID codes, that were reported in several recent works:

> *Agertz et al.2006 Tasker et al.2008 Mitchell et al.2009*

### SHOCKS & TURBULENCE in ENZO AMR

FV, Brunetti, Kritsuk, Wagner, Gheller & Norman 2009, Highlight of A&A 504



4 AMR levels Refinement on gas/DM overdensity 4 AMR levels Refinement on gas/DM overdensity + velocity jumps

DM mass resolution  $m_{dm} = 6.7 \cdot 10^8 M_{o}/h$ Peak resolution = 12kpc/h



#### SHOCKS & TURBULENCE in ENZO AMR FV, Brunetti, Kritsuk, Wagner, Gheller & Norman 2009, Highlight of A&A 504







# Profiles of turbulent (<300kpc) to total pressure in simulated clusters:



INJECTION + ADVECTION of Cosmic Rays with tracers - 10 generations of 10 <sup>5</sup> tracers -ŋ(M) injection efficency at shocks from Kang & Jones 2007



*Top: tracers & gas density* 

*Right: profile of CR to thermal* Energy

INJECTION + ADVECTION of Cosmic Rays with tracers - 10 generations of 10<sup>5</sup> tracers -ŋ(M) injection efficency at shocks from Kang & Jones 2007



#### Ongoing re-simulations:

target : M > 10<sup>15</sup> M /h clusters 6-7 levels of refinment AMR region: 4 x 4 x 4 R<sub>vir</sub> peak resolution of 12-24kpc/h dynamical range ~ 500-1000

DM mass resolution =  $6.7 \times 10^8$  M/h





# 감사합니다 !!